

2600

The Hacker Quarterly
Volume Seventeen, Number One!
Spring 2000
\$5.00 US, \$7.15 CAN

Asian Payphones



Bangkok, Thailand. This phone looks like it's been through an acid bath.

Photo by MC Telecom



Bangkok, Thailand. This phone looks like it's been through an acid bath.

Photo by MC Telecom


Tokyo, Japan. Will ISBN payphones ever be a common site in the States?

Photo by MC Telecom



Shanghai, China. A blue desk of art with the phone number proudly displayed.


Photo by Julian



Beijing, China. Happy telephone workers.

Photo by Julian

Come and visit our website and see our vast array of payphone photos that we've compiled! <http://www.2600.com>



DVD

IT'S TIME TO FIGHT! BACK! BACK!

PLEASE SELECT THE ARTICLE
YOU WISH TO SUE US OVER*



Show your support for 2600 and the other defendants in the
MPAA lawsuit by sporting our newly designed MPAA t-shirt.
The front looks quite a bit like the cover of this issue of 2600
while the back has this scary caricature of MPAA chief Jack
Valenti.

The shirts are \$25 each, which is more than they would be if
we weren't being sued. But if we weren't being sued, we
wouldn't have made the shirts! The extra money will go into
our defense fund and hopefully prevent this kind of crap from
happening again.

You can order these shirts (or anything else) through our online store
at www.2600.com or by writing to us at:

THE NEXT CHAPTER	5
A TASTE OF FREEDOM	9
HOW TO STAY A SYSTEM ADMIN	12
MILITARY COMPUTER SECRETS	13
SECURING WEB SITES WITH ASP	14
STILL MORE ON SIPRNET	17
FINDING AND EXPLOITING BUGS	18
ALL ABOUT SECURITY	20
YOUR INTERNET BIRTHDAY	24
MAKE SPAMMERS WORK FOR YOU	25
TAKING ADVANTAGE OF ALL ADVANTAGE	26
AT&T'S GAPING HOLE	27
CELLULAR NETWORKS DETAINED	28
LETTERS	30
HOW PSK COPY PROTECTION WORKS	40
FUN AT CIRCUIT CITY	43
HOW TO BUILD A COFFEE BOX	44
THE SPRINT PCS NETWORK	45
HOW TO GET BANNED FROM YOUR ISP	47
BUILD, DON'T BUY: YOUR NEXT COMPUTER	53
HOW DOES THAT DSS CARD REALLY WORK?	55
MARKETPLACE	56
MEETINGS	58

2600
PO Box 752
Middle Island, NY 11953
U.S.A.

"If we have to file a thousand lawsuits a day, we'll do it," - Jack Valenti, head of the MPA, referring to the steps they will take to silence those spreading the DecSS source code.

S T A F F

Editor-in-Chief

Emmanuel Goldstein

Layout and Design

TANESUPONTE

Cover Design

PIP, The Chopping Block Inc.

Office Manager

RAMORIL

Postmaster

RAMORIL

Changes to

Second Class Postage

Periodicals Paid at

Stamford, New York

POSTMASTER: Send address

changes to

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

\$20 per year, \$25 per year overseas.

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

Writers:

Bernie S., Bill, Blue Whistle,

Kevin Chemist, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Editor:

Bernie S., Bill, Blue Whistle,

Kevin Chemist, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Paul Estey, Mr. French, Thomas Igou,

Noam Ohmniel, Eric Corley, Dr. Delam,

Derneval, Nathan Dorfman, John Dratz,

Layout:

TANESUPONTE

Design:

PIP, The Chopping Block Inc.

Production:

RAMORIL

Office Manager:

RAMORIL

Postmaster:

RAMORIL

Changes to:

Second Class Postage:

Periodicals Paid at:

Stamford, New York

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

\$20 per year, \$25 per year overseas.

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

Overseas - \$26 individual, \$65 corporate.

Back issues available for 1983, 1989 at

Individual issues available from 1988 on
at \$5 each, \$6.25 each overseas.

POSTMASTER: Send address:

2600, P.O. Box 752, Middle Island, NY

11953-0752.

Copyright © 2000 2600 Enterprises, Inc.

Yearly subscription: U.S. and Canada -

\$18 individual, \$80 corporate

(U.S. funds)

ember webmaster@2500.com was served (via email) with legal papers from the DVD Copy Control Association. We thought it was pretty funny that a lawsuit could be entered and even funnier that all that they actually believed they could prevail in such a manner. We don't even have a working DVD player and here they were accusing us of piracy. Not to mention the fact that we weren't even involved in figuring it out in the first place.

They sent out legal threats against all kinds of people all around the world using whatever bizarre alias the web site might have been registered under. But there were also lots of people whose real names were used. We saw it as an incredible waste of money and effort on the part of the DVD CCA which nobody took very seriously. For one thing, the court they filed the lawsuit with had no jurisdiction outside of California.

But the humor was soon to wear off. On January 14, the MPAA stepped into the fray with guns blazing. Lawsuits were filed against four individuals including the editor of 2500 and the owner of an Internet Service Provider who wasn't even aware of the existence of the code which was on one of his customer's web pages. We saw this as a clear intimidation tactic - after all, is Bill Gates summonsed to court every time Microsoft is sued?

But intimidation was only the first part. We were about to learn a lesson about corporate manipulation of federal courts. The first courtly action to serve us with papers was made after 6 pm on a Friday afternoon. (They never actually succeeded in serving the papers but apparently dropping them on the ground is good enough these days.) A second attempt was made to serve our post office box for reasons we'll never know. Perhaps they thought our offices were within the post office somewhere.

(Despite this non-delivery of legal documents and despite the fact that the following Monday was a holiday, all of the defendants were ordered to have their entire defense submitted to the court by 7:00 am Wednesday, leaving exactly one day to prepare. Even with the functional Function Foundation stepping in to help us, this was simply an impossible and extremely unscrupulous test for all of the defendants.

On the following Thursday, January 20,

accusing us of piracy. Not to mention the fact that we weren't even involved in figuring it out in the first place.

They sent out legal threats against all kinds of people all around the world using whatever bizarre alias the web site might have been registered under. But there were also lots of people whose real names were used. We saw it as an incredible waste of money and effort on the part of the DVD CCA which nobody took very seriously. For one thing, the court they filed the lawsuit with had no jurisdiction outside of California.

But the humor was soon to wear off.

On January 14, the MPAA stepped into the fray with guns blazing. Lawsuits were filed against four individuals including the editor of 2500 and the owner of an Internet Service Provider who wasn't even aware of the existence of the code which was on one of his customer's web pages. We saw this as a clear intimidation tactic - after all, is Bill Gates summonsed to court every time Microsoft is sued?

But intimidation was only the first part.

We were about to learn a lesson about corporate manipulation of federal courts. The first courtly action to serve us with papers was made after 6 pm on a Friday afternoon. (They never actually succeeded in serving the papers but apparently dropping them on the ground is good enough these days.) A second attempt was

made to serve our post office box for reasons we'll never know. Perhaps they thought our offices were within the post office somewhere.

(Despite this non-delivery of legal documents and despite the fact that the following Monday was a holiday, all of the defendants were ordered to have their entire defense submitted to the court by 7:00 am Wednesday, leaving exactly one day to prepare. Even with the functional Function Foundation stepping in to help us, this was simply an impossible and extremely unscrupulous test for all of the defendants.

On the following Thursday, January 20,

a preliminary injunction was summarily granted against us which pretty much forced us to take the offending material off of our web site or face immediate imprisonment for "copyright infringement." Hard as this was for us to accept, we complied, believing that we could fight the battle a little more effectively without being locked away. Since then many hundreds of sites have mimicked the offending material in a demonstration of electronic civil disobedience. We have in turn put links on our site to those other locations.

Methodically, the MPAA has threatened each and every one of the owners of these sites which has led to even more new sites going up. While the court order against us does not prohibit our publishing links, we fear that, given the mood of the court, it will be expanded to include this in the future. If that happens, we will cut off links to a list. If that gets banned, we will mention the other sites in a paragraph of English text. In other words, we will stand against this kind of restriction until either they back down or we are stripped of our right to speak at all. That is how important this is.

The MPAA is coming at us using a very scary piece of law that civil libertarians have been wanting to challenge since it's inception. It's called the Digital Millennium Copyright Act and it basically makes it illegal to reverse engineer technology. This means you're not allowed to figure things apart and figure out how they work if the corporate entities involved don't want you to. With today's technology, you are less actually buying things like DVDs - you are merely buying a license to use them under their conditions. So, under the DMCA, it is illegal to play your DVD on your computer if your computer isn't licensed for it. It's illegal for you to figure out a way to play a European DVD on your TV set. And if you rent a DVD from your local video store, figuring out a way to bypass the commercials in the beginning could land you in court or even prison.

It sounds absurd because it is absurd.

And that is precisely why we're not going to back down on this and why others should take up the fight before things get any worse. The world the MPAA and the megacorporations want us to live in is a living hell. They are motivated by one fact: toralons and that is greed. If they can

make you buy the same thing multiple times, they will. If they can control the hardware as well as the software, they will. If they can prevent equal access to refined, easy-to-use software, they will. If they will. And you can bet that if they have to be sued and deceive in order to accomplish this, they most definitely will.

Let's take a look at what the MPAA has been saying publicly. When the injunction was granted against us, they called it a victory for artists and a strike against piracy. The newspapers and media outlets - most of them owned by the same companies that are suing us - dutifully reported just that. But anyone who does even the smallest amount of research can quickly suffice that this case has got nothing at all to do with piracy. It has always been possible to copy DVDs and there are thousands of houses in other parts of the world that do just that. But that apparently isn't as much of a threat as people understand how the technology works. Sound familiar? It's the same logic that the Nazis have used to imprison those hackers who expose things to other people while not even protecting the individuals who do actual damage. The real threat in their eyes are people like us, who believe in spreading information and understanding technology. By relating us as evil vultures out to rip off DVDs and ruin things for everyone, they are deceiving the public in a way that we've become all too familiar with.

Those of us who have been watching


the individuals trends in this country might have been able to predict this battle. It was put out of business by General Motors' DirecTV because they didn't like the specific information they printed about the workings of satellite technology. We knew it was only a matter of time before

the technology was put out of business by General Motors' DirecTV because they didn't like the specific information they printed about the workings of satellite technology. We knew it was only a matter of time before

people affiliated with the monthly 20/20 meetings and people to countless other groups and citizens worldwide took part in a massive letter-writing campaign to spread the

the opinions trends in this country might have been able to predict this battle. It was put out of business by General Motors' DirecTV because they didn't like the specific information they printed about the workings of satellite technology. We knew it was only a matter of time before

people affiliated with the monthly 20/20 meetings and people to countless other groups and citizens worldwide took part in a massive letter-writing campaign to spread the



word about the KKK. Judging by the many accounts we received, it was extremely effective and successful. Once again we are in the position of getting the word out to the people who the mass media ignore.

That is also because of the MPA's efforts and not only because of the MPA's threat. Some of the things being planned are incredibly frightening and will have a profound impact on our community, not to mention what it will do to society. It would be a big mistake to assume that the battle has ended with McNamka's release. Complete secrecy will destroy us and free thinkers everywhere.

San Francisco's Proposition 21, which allows prosecutors to decide whether youthful offenders are to be tried as adults. In other words, judges will now be entirely bypassed. While the measure was called the Gang Violence and Juvenile Crime Prevention Act initially, its effects will extend well beyond that. A kid hacking a web site would be tried and sentenced as an adult if the prosecution decides to go that route. That means we can look forward to more cases of hackers being put into prisons with dangerous offenders. Only new age snags matter. Combine this with California's "Three Strikes" law and it's entirely possible that the next Kevin Mitnick will be put away for life. That's the kind of sick society we're turning into.

We see similar scenarios unfolding all over the country. In New York, Senator Charles Schumer has proposed a bill that would allow teenage hackers to be tried as adults and would eliminate the need to prove any damage was caused before the FBI steps in.

much of this hysteria has been caused by the recent Denial of Service attacks against some major corporate web sites. While this kind of thing has existed on the net since Day One, when it started affecting the biggest money-makers on the web it suddenly became a major crisis. And not surprisingly, hackers were targeted as the cause, even when it became quickly apparent that these wars were virtually impossible to track down for culprits. It also was pretty clear that this kind of thing is relatively easy to do. But the media didn't focus on that nor on the obvious fact that if hackers were so bent on destroying the net then this sort of

thing would constantly be happening on
the transverse scale. That simply was not
possible. And most worse of all: "This was
a very easy thing to do. Anybody could
have done it. We may never find out who
was behind it. But *suckers are responsible*."
Mr. "

In a response that was surprisingly quick and well-prepared, the Clinton administration came up with all kinds of reasonable gains and hungered refugees to crack down on hackers. 2000 and others began getting hate mail from people incensed that we would do such a horribile thing to the Internet. Once again, hackers had become the enemy without lifting a finger.

In a somewhat bizarre twist, the government that helped kick Kevin Mitnick away then sought out his advice on the whole matter of hackers by inviting him to testify before the Senate. While not doing anything with the invitation to tell these lawbreakers where they could go after the honest way he was treated, Mitnick chose to take the high road and attempt to educate the Senators. His subsequent visit to Capitol Hill seemed to have a real positive effect, as the senators saw someone who wasn't a dark and evil cyberterrorist but rather a warm and open individual with nothing to hide. It called into question not only his appointment but the absurd restrictions of this super-secret release which forbid him from bring up his cellular phone or having any kind of contact with a reporter.

Maybe it had an effect on them and maybe it didn't. What's important is that Mitnick didn't give up hope that things could be changed for the better if communication was allowed. And if anyone has

called the right to give up on the system, he has.


We have what appears to be a long and difficult road ahead. Judging from the sheer size and determination of our adversary, America's money comes and goes all.

The Minnies case may never taught us what we had to know to fight this battle. That knowledge, combined with the opinion that Minnick himself personifies is the best shot we have at getting through this.

**A
T
A
S
T
E
R
E
O
M
E**

What a difference 44 days make. Just about seven weeks ago, I was dressed in prison-issued khakis, a prisoner at the U.S. federal correctional institution in Lompoc, California. Last Thursday, March 2nd, I presented my written and verbal testimony to the United States Senate Government Affairs Committee that described how to increase information security within government agencies. Wow. Even more important than my testimony in front of the U.S. Senate has been my father's recent heart attack, his triple bypass surgery, and the staph infection he suffered during his hospital stay. Although his surgery was a success, fighting the staph infection has proven extremely difficult. My primary occupation since my release has been taking care of my father's needs. He's fiercely independent, and his sudden reliance on others has been very stressful for all concerned.

When I haven't been taking care of my father, I've been participating in many different interviews, and that's where my supporters deserve so much credit. You have done a great job of getting the word out about my case, and I'm trying to keep up the momentum you all established. Just as you used protests, fliers, and websites to publicize the facts about my case, I'm doing radio, television, and print appearances to do the same thing. Many thousands of you sent letters to me while I was in prison. Some of you may think because I didn't reply that I didn't care about the letters, but quite the opposite was true. My defense team was concerned that anything said by me would be manipulated by the prosecutors, and used by the court to punish me even more severely. I received letters from people in this country and from countries around the world, the vast majority of which were tremendously supportive. A handful of those letters were hateful, but I simply ignored them. No matter how much I wanted to answer many of the letters,



I simply couldn't. The postage was another burden, and for those of you who sent stamps, I hope you realize now that the prison staff tears stamps as "contraband," and will either seize them or return them to sender when they find them in a letter sent to a federal inmate.

On The Inside

"Doing time" is a strange thing. When you're on the inside, you can't look out. You have to pretend as though the outside doesn't even exist. Letters are a welcome break to the routine, but as soon as I read them, I'd have to focus and get back into my rhythm of attending. There were no cars outside my window, that there were no people living their lives. During my five years inside I looked at the sky only to see the weather, and I rarely looked at the cars or the people.

I spent most of my waking hours working on my case, or corresponding with supporters and attorneys who were helping me with legal research. I took the energy I used to spend on hacking and I basically turned myself in law. This took a great deal of time and energy, since I've never had any formal training in law. Many of the attorneys who donated their time and expertise were especially helpful in guiding my legal research, and to them I am particularly grateful.

Conditional Freedom

I spend much of the time available to me when I'm not caring for my father figuring out how to earn a living in light of the overly broad, unreasonable restrictions imposed by Judge Fraizer. While I was at the World Trade Center in New York with a friend recently, I saw an iMac used to select gifts from the shop - technically, if I used that iMac I would violate the terms of my supervised release. If I even used a computer to purchase a Metrocard to ride the New York subway system I would also violate the probationary conditions of supervised release.

These conditions also restricted my First Amendment rights to the extent I prohibited me from acting as an advocate to anyone who is engaged in computer-related activity. My recent Senate talk could be violative, as could a talk to a car mechanic. The conditions are so vague and overly broad that I don't know what I need to do or not do to stay out of jail. It's up to a government official to decide whether or not I go back to jail, and it's not based on my intent - it's completely arbitrary.

The Senate
Several weeks ago I was invited to speak to the U.S. Senate. I was taken shock, as well as honored, by the sadness of their request and that they would be interested in my opinion. I felt good about educating bureaucrats to look at the big picture - especially in how easy it is to compromise personal without touching a computer. The hearing seemed extremely successful, and I felt respected. This is a very different feeling when compared to jail. I felt a sense of pride when Senator Lieberman complimented me by suggesting I would make a very good lawyer. (At least, I hope it was a compliment!) I felt effective at communicating my views to the Senate. I felt that they learned something and that it made them think about something that is often ignored: the weakest links in society are the people.

Compare those feelings to the way I was treated like shit and like I was the scum of the earth while in federal prison. Guards patted me down at any time. I was bound and shackled to move 25 feet (to an MRI device on a truck parked at the curb outside the prison). Just 48 hours before my release, the disrespect by the majority of federal prison staff members is shocking. I was strip searched after each visit from friends and family. During these visits, I had to time my request to use the bathroom on the half-hour, only to have my request re-

fused on a guard's whim. I was treated like a bank robber, drug dealer, or murderer. And six weeks later I was in a blue jumpsuit in front of the U.S. Senate.

The television network Court TV called after my Senate testimony to request my appearance, for the second time, on the *Crier Today* show, which is hosted by former Judge Catherine Crier. It's an interesting show and I've enjoyed both my appearances. Ironically, their request brought me to New York City on the first Friday of March, the day that 2600 meetings were held worldwide.

Emmanuel was at the *Crier Today* filming, and we spent some time talking before we went to the lobby of the Citicorp building. It was my first time in New York, my first 2600 meeting, and it was the best time I've had since I was released from jail. I greatly enjoyed meeting many of my supporters in person, but I felt surprised when the first person asked me for my autograph. Despite my surprise, several others wanted autographs so I spent the end of the meeting talking with people and signing the things they gave me.

The warm support and friendship I felt during and after the meeting was wonderful, and in distinct contrast to how I've felt most of my life, somewhat of an outsider with [other] unusual interests. At the meeting, I noticed a young boy, perhaps 10 years old, with a Hans "but end" clipped to his belt, and I was reminded of myself as a child, when my fascination with telephone systems began. What fun it must be to be so young, and to know that there are people all around the world who share your passion.

The 2600 meeting was just the beginning of three days and two nights in New York, and I had a great time. It was a bit overwhelming to sit in a packed Ben's Famous Pizza down on

Spring Street after spending five years in prison, but their great Sicilian made everything seem just right.

Without the support of 2600 and you all, my case would likely have ended up differently. The support of each and every one of you positively influenced

media treatment of my case, which gave me the energy to fight the charges against me, which in turn influenced the government's treatment

of me - see the freekevin.com website for more details about this. I greatly appreciate the support of each person in my fight against injustice. Last, and definitely not least, Emmanuel hasn't

given up - he has dedicated time and resources and has organized extraordinary events to focus the spotlight on injustices in my case involving the federal government and the media - his support has been crucial, and without it, things would have ended up as positively as they have. Emmanuel took up my case more than five years ago, and has used his radio show and space in 2600 to publicize the government's dramatic manipulation of my case for the self-interest of a pair of misguided, egotistical prosecutors. I owe him - and all of you - a great debt. I am very, very lucky to have had friends like you.



Securing A Web Site With S

by guinsu

Many readers of this magazine are probably people like myself: web developers and programmers who write web applications and are concerned about the security of those applications at the code level. What I will describe in this article are some techniques I have used recently that are popular at e-commerce or corporate locations. Most of my experience has been with MS IIS using ASPvBScript and SQL. However this is relevant to any server environment that uses SQL and supports session objects (more on that later).

Make Sure Only Valid Users Can Get In

1) Use SSL. This is probably the key item to not only making a site secure but keeping your boss clients happy. When you tell someone that their site has SSL, they immediately assume it is secure and everything is great. Obviously SSL is not enough. If you strip SSL down on a site that anyone can get to - who cares - they can still look at whatever they want. However if you put a simple login form as the default document in an SSL secured directory and also make sure all information transfers are secured by SSL, you have eliminated most, if not all, of the dangers of someone eavesdropping on the transfers in any way.

2) Use the session object to store authentication information. The session object is a global object that exists in ASP. It is also used in other environments, such as Java Servlets/JSF and I'm sure PERL and PHP have an equivalent. The session object is a global object (fixed to each user on the site. Every user of your site gets their own unique session object that stays with them for their entire visit to your site. How is this implemented? With

cookies. When a user first connects to your site, the server sends a cookie with a long alphanumeric string that is supposedly guaranteed to be unique for each user of your site. If the user does not have cookies enabled, sessions will not work. Sessions are not passed around from page to page - all session information and the mapping of session IDs to the session data is done on the server. Any sensitive data you put in the session stays on the server. It is not sent in the cookie to the browser. One problem besides cookies being disabled is that sessions are not shared across server clusters. So if you have a high volume site that can dynamically switch users around amongst two or more servers, you cannot use the session object. This information could potentially be lost if the router sends a user to another server. Also, the session will time out if the user is idle for a certain amount of time (usually 20 minutes), so information in the session will not be retained for any long length of time. It also goes away when the web server is stopped.

The way you put information in a session object is simple:

```
Session("User_ID") = 12345
```

You can create items in the session on the fly without declaring them and pull them out just as easily:

```
TempStr=Session("First_Name")
```

One thing I have seen mentioned often is not to overload the session object with too much information in ASP. Apparently this is very inefficient for the server and drags down performance. All documentation I have seen encourages the use of the session object. So this could just be an inefficiency of IIS.

Now that I have covered the groundwork of the session, here is anything they can. After all, you might run a site (such as Hotmail or similar) that anyone can sign up for; you really have no idea who is using your site.

uses to the values stored in the database. If a user is determined to be a valid user we have a line like this.

```
Session("Authenticated")="TRUE"
```

Next we make an asc file called check_logged_in.asp (or something like that) with contents like this:

```
Sub check_logged_in()
  If Session("Authenticated")<>"TRUE" Then
    Response.redirect("login.htm")
  End If
End Sub
```

Include this file (with <!--#include file="check_logged_in.asp"--> on every page. Then at the top of the page, before any other content or headers, call check_logged_in. This way even if someone knows the URL of a page inside your site, they cannot see it. They will be bounced right out to the login page. Some issues with this include the fact that every page must now be an .asp page. For a database intensive site this is no problem - nearly all of your content will be dynamic. However if you are serving up mostly static pages but still need people to log in, this could hurt your performance. Also, if you use Visual InterDev 6 with its Design Time Controls, you must be careful that your check_logged_in call comes before blocks of code that VI puts in, specifically the visualizing object model code. What happens otherwise is that the VI code starts writing headers to the browser and when you try to redirect, you'll get an error.

Making Sure Valid Users Can See Only Their Information

Once people are logged in, they are assumed to be safe and everything is assumed to be safe. Well, obviously you don't read the title of this section, so go back and do that now.

OK, now that we are all caught up... once people are in your site there is no reason to assume they will not poke around and try to gain anything they can. After all, you might run a site (such as Hotmail or similar) that anyone can sign up for; you really have no idea who is using your site.

Or corporate users, might try to get into their competitors' data. There are a few things we can do to stop this.

1) Validate all forms on the server. Now Javascript is a great way to validate forms and is much less of a hassle than trying to deal with this on the server. The user gets instant feedback and your enter checking code was able to write. However, nothing stops a user from finding the URL of your CGI or your ASP page that accepts the form and just passing all the data in the URL (if it was a GET form). You could switch all of your forms to post, which would distract a lot of people.

But what if users use the back button a lot? They would get hassled by all sorts of error messages. Or what if you need to actually target the results of the form in another frame, using Javascript to set the href of that frame like this:

```
parent.frames.otherwindow.location.href="view_data?id=5" (or similar, I can't remember the exact syntax)
```

So in the interests of making the site easy to use and flexible, you'll probably need to use GET some times. Plus someone could write their own software to send whatever they wanted through POST.

On the server you'll need a few checks to make sure everything is OK. Here are a few:

a) Check the referring page - if the information didn't come from the right page, reject it and give an error. In ASP the code to get the referer is:

```
Request.ServerVariables("HTTP_REFERER")
```

If someone is really determined, a program could easily fake this. However as far as I know, browsers never lie about referrers. This also will not work if your pages are linked by many other pages - the list of possible referrers to check could get out of hand.

b) Make sure every variable that you expect is there. If anything is missing it could be a problem. At the least it will probably cause an ASP error, which looks ugly. Look out for those and give your own error page when this happens.

3) Check the types and data in all variables. Like I mentioned before, don't rely on JavaScript. JavaScript is more as a convenience to the user so they do not have to related the page and wait in order to find an error. You still need to have a second check just in case.

2) Make your SQL statements secure. If you are accessing a database, 99 percent of the time you will use SQL to do this. One thing a user can do is pass data through the parameters to a page that was the correct type and hence would pass the tests in the last section. But it could be incorrect data. For instance, you run a web based mail site. Bob goes to view his mail and goes to a page with this URL:

http://biguglymailserver.com/view_mall?User_Id=647

So he decides to try other ID numbers in the URL and presto, he gets to read someone else's mail. This is because the SQL statement just took the parameter and grabbed all the recall from the database that belonged to that ID number. In this case the user_id might have been better stored in the session, and since it is just one int for each user, it would not hurt performance that much. But here is another example. Say you have a database of salesmen and their clients and the URL looks like this:

http://bigugly.com/view_customer_det_a?sales_id=123&cusId=4234

And say all your SQL did was lookup that customer id and return the data like this:

```
SELECT * FROM CUST_DATA
WHERE customer_id=@cusId
Therefore you are vulnerable to someone typing in any other customer id in the URL. A better way would be to correlate the salesman id and the customer id:
```

http://bigugly.com/view_customer_det_a?salesman_id=@sales_id

If the information that related salesmen to customers is in another table, then you should use a JOIN to combine the two. Now you may say that a user could easily just play with the salesman id in the session?

Well, what if you aren't logged in as the salesman but as his manager, and you've got 100 salesmen under you. Putting them all in the session is a big headache on many levels. In that case you would need a way to match up managers with their salesmen, and then with their customers. This would take the form of another table and then your SQL statement would need to include the manager information joined with the other two items.

The basic point of this explanation is don't rely on parameters passed solely by GET and POST to do SQL queries. You should always correlate them with data held in the session object. Otherwise you leave yourself open to people looking at others' data, whether it's e-mail, sales info, or your private medical records.

One other note about SQL queries that exposed some potentially serious issues with SQL server 6.5 and users being able to pass their own SQL queries in parameters. Find the article and make sure your app is not vulnerable to this.

In closing I hope this has been an informative and helpful article for the programmers out there. I know I blew over some of the SQL stuff, but it is too big of a topic to go into here. For more information, check out this page (or the 10,000 mirrors of it on the web):

<http://bigugly.com/~net/~huffman/sqlut.htm>

I'm also, I am sure I missed a few holes that I am just not aware of. So do not take this as the end all and be all of securing sites in code.

WWW.2600.COM

STILL MORE ON SIPRNET

by Phroslyte

During the winter of 97/98, the Abraham Lincoln Battle Group deployed a US Navy ships. The ALBG built the bases of this network on KT445 and IIP. This 10.20, and it was decided this would be the network to bring the Navy into the 21st century, so they chose this new technology, etc, put simply, IT21. IT21's primary purpose is for relaying information from ship to ship using line-of-sight protocols. In reference to an article entitled "More on SIPRNET," the author stated that he believed

Sipnet was going through the KG-84 crypto. I can verify this as the crypto system being used onboard US Navy ships. In addition, the author was correct when he mentioned that he heard that the KG-84 is housed with a paper tape with punch holes, similar to the punch cards used in the 60's and 70's. The crypto tape is a part of COMMSCO (Communications Security) which is for other military communication systems other than Sipnet. The tape is about half an inch wide and, depending on its use, determines the length of the crypto. In addition to the KG-84 crypto, IT21 is also built using CISCO 4000 routers, XTRAN Orari switches, and Digital Equipment dual Peacock Pro servers running NT 4.0. Besides, the NT 4.0 network, IT21 ties into

formation Systems) and NAVMACS (Naval Modular Automatic Communications System), both of which run off IIP Unix 10.20. The purpose of TMCS is to display real time information, and location of every US Navy, Marine, and other US military forces in the world. NAVMACS is used for the transmitting and receiving of military messages and communications over a data network. On board Navy vessels, Sipnet is accessed via EHF and SHF circuits. Under test runs, the larger class ships with SITF and POTS links are able to even open up voice chat and video conferences. The Abraham Lincoln Battle Group deployment, IT21 proved beyond successful for extending secret information over secured circuits faster than previously used networks.

Also previously stated in the "More on SIPRNET" article, the author makes reference to the location of the bunker that houses the primary Sipnet servers. In addition to the one in Maryland, there are alternate backup servers at the NCARAD installation and the bunkers at Sipnet. Notable, along with three remote monitoring stations, one on the east coast, one on the west coast, and the third in Europe. The purpose of these stations is to maintain security on the Sipnet network, and monitor all logins, ensuring that the all

(MC)S Joint Maritime Command In-

ALL ABOUT SECURID

by magus

secuid@terrablasts.net

Right off the bat, I'd like to note - I wrote this article from memory. I'll try to point out inaccuracies. Feel free to point them out constructively. Thanks.

Well, I've been wanting to write about SecurID's and such for a while, and this spare hour or two on Greyhound is as good a time as any. I suppose... (brevity's geek plug). For those of you who are scratching your heads and wondering, what is a SecurID? Did you just make it up yourself? Did you just make it up yourself? Well, well no. They do exist, but I like the box idea (kind). Along those lines, don't worry about seemingly ridiculous comments in this article. Most of them are (yes, only) clever jests wordwide will get. If one person speaks of SecurID's, they probably mean the SecurID tokens made by Security Dynamics (www.securitydynamics.com) and used by many corporations including America Online (one could write an article just about how AOL uses SecurID's, since they have a fairly custom implementation. Don't they, Tatami?), Pacific Bell, Bell Canada, I think, several universities, and countless corporations that I've seen, but their stockholders and their Security Dynamics account executives have never heard of. These tokens are little more than a blue piece of plastic with an LCD screen and SecurID™ imprinted in letters. If you don't have one, obtain one. They make great conversation pieces even if you don't use them for anything. The screen displays up to eight numbers, but we've only seen six of these ever be used. These numbers rotate every 30, 45, or 60 seconds, depending on the token and the server. The left hand corner of the screen shows a series of bars whose disappearance and appearance tell you how close you are to the next rotation (number change). The purpose, of course, is to authenticate yourself to someone, and so it's a two-factor authentication.

When you are challenged and begin, you need to enter the current number on the SecurID display (or the most recent one). There's a grace period of a few seconds, and sometimes a PIN. Some setups will require a PIN, some won't. It doesn't really add all that much security, IMHO, since you're already being challenged for a login, password, and SecurID code - if someone has all those, you're already pretty bad!

If someone has a gun in your head, you can increment your PIN by one, which is called a "dumb PIN" and you'll still be logged in. However, you'll generate an Error Type 085, Gun Proximity Fault, or something similar in the security log. Whoops. Conversely, if you ever point a gun at someone and ask for their PIN, and they're not the only sorry schmuck type who will find dead away messages like, "they seem to have some presence of mind, stag them & continue" and decrease their PIN by one. Assuming you're somewhere it's legal to point guns at people and size them around, of course (i.e. you're a Reno P.C. officer, having a bad day).

If someone enters a code and somehow gets knocked off the system, they must wait for their next rotation - they can't begin again using that same code unless it's generated twice in a row which doesn't happen (I have seen tokens roll over to 555555, 333333, etc...). I stand ready with a canister to photograph a token reading 666665...

Each token has an eight digit serial number stamped on the back, right next to "Please return to Security Dynamics... Tatami Japan." This is used to track the token in the ACE (Access Control Electronics) server, snatched details it from someone's account, etc. Each token also has a self-destructable Contact to the proximist bytes of Mission Impossible (the proximist bytes of Mission Impossible, I'll not delerate a small bimble of 898 on this date - it rarely causes to work and consistently displays "8981m" on its display, or merely flashes a single dot, or both). Dual SecurID's have been known to start doing something with strong electrostatic discharge - they sound, but not in the way they are supposed to. They are fairly

consistent to such discharge, although I've only tested on the older cards and the newer key fobs. If anyone has tried HERF-ing one, I'd like to hear the results. Some people have theorized that they also self-destruct if opened - I maintain it's just really hard to open one without breaking it (grin). Then again, I've only tried this on older cards.

Speaking of which... I meant to cover this earlier. SecurID's come in various form factors. All are strong, rugged electronics. Do not bend or otherwise hurt your SecurID. Please turn your SecurID in to your SecurID administrator rather than dropping it into the Chanks of Doom to unmake it. Do not feed or trash Happy Funbox.

The cards are the classics... these are metal, strong, heavy items (at least by themselves, but a stack of seven could consider a skull if wielded by a strong and vicious SecurID about the size of a credit card and two or three times as thick. They are not trying to get in your back pocket, against all notifications. We know it's tempting. So, very tempting. Please don't. We guarantee they will stick within a day. Security Dynamics won't replace them if the display is cracked or blackened. No matter how much you try to convince them it's somehow their fault.

The next model is the tiny, squishy, key fob. I have these. They're built like tanks. Mine has been dropped, run over, chewed on by toddlers, and thrown in a cage. It's still a happy little SecurID. It does basically the same thing as every other SecurID. The case is plastic rather than metal.

After this is the sleek key key fob. If the SecurID one looks like it belongs in a buck Rogers, those should be in Star Trek TNG. It provides more modern references, but I haven't watched TNG in years!

These are also plastic, and identical to dropping cards out of sequentially higher floors until forced to stop...

One of the more obscure SecurID's is the SecurID enabled PCMCIA card modem. These are manufactured by Motorola and have no display - they send login data directly to ACE when this option is enabled. ACE must have a special module kind of

be able to support these. These are for when you're elsewhere at the geek meet, generic communications gear. Unless you run into someone who's an STU-III phone. Then you're out of luck, and need to climb into a pile of geeky dust.

There are two other models I know of: smartcard and cards with keypads. I don't own either side, so if this sentence is still true by the time you read this article, I was unable to find out anything either. Who is as a token.

Are SecurID's somehow insecure? Of course! Let me know if you find out how so. The obvious answer is the usual answer in such questions - who controls the access control? Do you like your geek? Does your geek like you? The latter matters more. What happens if the master running ACE goes down? Or login 30 unchallenged like AOL's original stars for SecurID implementation called for? Do you really trust a possibly device manufactured by a company that won't open as designed for public review? Do you not care and just can't resist these sexy pieces of plastic?

The ACE server itself runs on a variety of operating systems, including NT, HPUX, and others. I have a copy lying around somewhere for someone extremely qualified to pick apart if they'd like. In contact me. Data for the authentication tokens themselves. I have a copy lying around somewhere for someone extremely qualified to pick apart if they'd like. In contact me. Data for the authentication tokens themselves. This is by no means a complete work - it is merely an overview of SecurID technology as generated by my memory, which is admittedly failing as a result of my foot training instead of adapting to run off caffeine instead of glucose. If anyone wants technical details on administering ACE or something similarly specific, or merely wishes to bash me for a harebrained error, feel free to contact me.

Security Dynamics



Taking Advantage of All Advantage.



some 100 designs, trying to perform the act instead of any finger. I would often duct-tape five fingers together so my fingers would stick together but alas, the "newbie" had to stay alone. After duct-taping, I realized that I had forgotten to my computer for permanent storage.

Second stage: Train in Sims. I could make a web page that linked to another web page, then linked back to the first one. I would make those pages that would be based on trash and then link them. Train myself out the trash so I could never my mouse movement. Sixth stage: I would print and have the printout rip in overing over. This way, I could have my computer idle and it would just print there "driving out you," similar to the All-Add-integer-roubar test. I could stand up to have trash right and my class mates, and all Add-integer-ids, print out to know.

Third stage: There are 500 IDs in my directory and they would be bound together, hence the property is very bad. You need to break them and some other actions. Then you need to correct the classes. I am -based on C++ five minutes of 20. The intention about something is stored in different fields in the directory. One is called AFOL, all for AFOL, one called Datasell (or something), and another AFOL (or AFOL). I am going everything up in the test section, and there is some amount of the executable, but nothing that could damage the appearance of the program. They really want to know that the AFOL class sets that to 0 if you are not working from.

Fourth stage: I have set up and possibility of fixing the same program section for this system. There is no way to All-Add-integer to provide their clients. So I am using FireFox, as browser for the background that you have the system browser directly to remove the set to 25.

10. I am doing this for the main system, which was a Java application available at www.allsoft.com. I do it because there is no any type of virus and this is Java, illegal for the most part, so I don't get caught doing this.

Wireless Service that allows a user to receive free phone service. There are cell phone customers who have seen, making calls free for months, and may never be caught. First, let me tell you that I am merely exposing the all of it, and do not advocate taking advantage of it in any way. And although I will give you specific information on how to set free service and how to legally obtain it, I am not advocating for this service. I do not condone it—screaming at me is obscene, period. Now let me explain. Prepaid activations require specific prepaid numbers from a certain exclusive and legal provider. When you activate a prepaid phone with a regular cellular number, what happens is pure magic. A person is able to make and receive as many calls as he wants, for free. You don't have to buy a prepaid card ever. You just activate prepaid service with a regular style phone number and voila, you're in the service. Please take note that all AT&T wireless carriers nationally use Unimax and Boost activated phones, and we all share in success by using them. I am Client + Business owner, someone in the Midwest, meaning that AT&T's little talked about nation, not just more market. AT&T's Tech Support group has been aware of this problem for a long time, but has not done it because it is a trademarked service and would cost legal troubles to fix the catch. Here is the real cool thing about this hole. AT&T prepaid service does not require you to give your name and address. So there is no way they can trace it to you, and even if they were able to catch you it's not your fault you received free service—it's AT&T's fault. Now you know how easy it is to get free service. But here's the here part: activation a prepaid account with a regular number, what to do, what to do? Usually

charge, a mistake, a please, but it could be done inadvertently if an evil person (not you) wanted to take advantage of it. There are few ways to do it, but this is probably the best way you need some social engineering skills to cause you have to return you are a cell phone sales rep any place that sells AT&T cell phones. You'd have to know from sales from store to know how to find this out. Simple, I mean or a call. A rep calling us will usually say, "Hi, this is Mike from Circuit City. B&L. My pin code is 1234567890. Do you have the pin code? It's a piece of cake. Can I say you're new and so from Store B&L and your pin code is 1234567890, whatever. Ask them if you can have a regular number for a certain area code. They will ask you what pin you need. It transferred to you. You don't need to know your pin number, because the reps have a list. You do have to know where the fuck you're calling from though, so tell them the name of your store and your phone number (important). Say "Thank you" and hang up. Call back two minutes later, ask to do a prepaid activation, and tell them you already have a number selected. Give them the regular number that you listed, two numbers and the pin, your pin code, etc. AT&T's system will not catch the error and the only way they will catch it is if they have everyone pre-pick mentioned and they won't. The reps usually don't even pay attention and just want to set you up the phone so they can answer the next call while I'm sure this error will be fixed somehow. Then just say, "I need to return it. AT&T does not make it a priority. Once the secret is out, there's bound to be tons of problems, maybe exposing it to you all will put AT&T on their dirty toes. Have a nice day all! Please, and thank you for calling, AT&T.

At&T's Gaping Hole

Cellular Networks Detailed



by Echo Mirage

writer@echomirage.com

Not so long ago there was only one basic type of cellular network: the analog cellular.

Not so long ago there was only one basic type of cellular network: the analog cellular.

Now, in the last few years there has been a great difference in the technology that cellular phones communicate with. Digital is only the tip of the iceberg, as there are a host of different digital technologies and even more radio frequency bands within those digital spectrums. We will look at each of the currently available cellular networks and the basic differences between them.

The Phones

First, let's look at the small size of the System. A cellular phone is not all that different from a regular cordless phone or a similar radio wave device. It sends voice signals out over the airwaves to a base station, which then connects into the POTS network and connects the call.

"Mobile phones, car phones, and 'transactable' phones, or bag phones, usually output three watts of power.

Analog phones work by sending your voice signal more or less directly out over the airwaves. Digital phones use a device called a vocoder to convert the analog sound waves of your voice into binary data that it can send digitally. Analog phones are, therefore, much less secure than digital phones, but analog has the benefit of being much more widely used. Analog networks cover 95 percent of the United States. Digital networks cover only 65-70 percent.

Now, let's look at the different types of networks.

AMPS

AMPS stands for "Advanced Mobile Phone System." Basically, the AMPS network is the analog network. These phones operate in the 800 MHz band. Each phone requires its own frequency to operate on, therefore, a great deal of individual frequencies are required to operate an AMPS network, and the phone has decreased battery life, because it is constantly "talking" on the network.

AMPS phones do have the benefit of being able to achieve up to 10.2 kilobit data transfer rates. AMPS phones use ESNs (Electronic Serial Numbers) for tracking information. ESNs are usually eleven digits in

approximately ten times the capacity of TDMA and roughly three times the capacity of CDMA.

CDMA has additional benefits. Since there are no "line slots" to worry about, data transmission is more efficient on a CDMA network and it is less subject to interference than an AMPS network.

Phones, use ESN numbers for tracking purposes.

A great deal of information on CDMA network technology can be found on the Qualcomm and Ericsson websites, at <http://www.qualcomm.com>, and <http://www.ericsson.com>, respectively.

CDMA is more or less the worldwide standard for digital cellular communications. GSM stands for "Global System for Mobile Communications." GSM technology is used by companies such as Unisys, Pacific Bell, and Western Wireless (i.e., Vodaphone).

These phones operate in the 800 or 900 MHz ("GSM") bands. The frequency on which the phone operates depends on where in the world it is being used. GSM is a derivative of TDMA technology, operating on the same time sharing principle, only this time it measures in milliseconds. It is transparent to the user.

TDMA provides roughly three to four times the capacity of AMPS. Call transmissions are possible on straight TDMA networks, but are strangely rare. Most TDMA companies prefer to use their legacy analog systems to perform data transmission than the TDMA system.

TDMA phones use ESNs for tracking.

CDMA is a digital technology designed and pioneered by Qualcomm. CDMA stands for "Code Division Multiple Access".

These phones operate in either the 800 MHz ("GSM") band or the 1800 MHz (PCS) band. CDMA is based on military technology, and is the most efficient cellular technology publicly available. CDMA uses PCS and AT&T.

Radio then assigning each phone a time to talk. CDMA basically allows an open-channel. CDMA binary transmissions are "assigned" to be unique to the phone from which they originated, so they are never mixed up. Although some cellular phones may be "talking" at the same time, they are all kept separate because each binary.

CDMA has a unique tag on it, which identifies it as coming from or belonging to a specific phone. CDMA technology allows for

numbers for tracking the phone, though certain other types of tracking are done using the SIM card number.

An excellent source of information on CDMA technology and Qualcomm's worldwide can be found at the GSM Alliance homepage at <http://www.3gpp.org>.

IDEN

The IDEN network is the brainchild of Motorola and was designed to accommodate both cellular transmissions and traditional radio-like transmissions. IDEN operates solely in the 800 MHz band (Motorola is currently designing a 1.5 GHz IDEN network specifically for the United States, the only current

IDEN provider is Nextel Communications).

The unique feature about the IDEN network is that users have the option of using a traditional cellular cell or using the "Direct Connect" feature to turn the phone into a two-way radio that can communicate with one or hundreds of other IDEN phones that are "hired" to that channel. This is mainly being marketed as a business solution, and nothing so far as Nextel and other IDEN/CDMA phones, though available.

CDMA phones, though available, have priced the technology out of the range of most consumers.

IDEN technology, are more expensive than CDMA transmissions, and it appears that Motorola is attempting to develop this into IDEN's second killer app. Just in case the "Direct Connect" feature fails, IDEN phones use IMEI numbers for tracking purposes.

More information about the IDEN network can be found on the Motorola website at <http://www.motorola.com>.

The cellular world is constantly being changed and transformed, and it doesn't look like the battle for standards will end any time soon. Hackers and breakers can have no end of fun exploring the cellular networks. What have provided here is just an overview. If you are further interested, there are thousands of web pages, books, and technical documents on cellular phone technology. Go out and explore and learn.

Shows out to AT&T, Verizon, Sprint, and T-Mobile.

(International Mobile Equipment Identity)

AMPS phones do have the benefit of being able to achieve up to 10.2 kilobit data transfer rates. AMPS phones use ESNs (Electronic Serial Numbers) for tracking information. ESNs are usually eleven digits in

How PSX Copy Works

by Lord Xarph

Xarph@bluengphunc.com

Remember back in the Old Days

when copy protection schemes were

weird, horrifying, and weird? Spindle,

code wheels, etc.? (For some kickass documentation on

this, check out TaxierHornet's Lite Be-

lone Demos at

<http://www.oldschool.org/litedemos/>

(protection). One of the most interesting schemes was physically damaging

the disk - using a laser to burn a hole in

the disk. If you attempting a read or

write at that point, if the read/write

failed, then the disk was authentic and

the game was loaded.

Well, you can't exactly burn a hole in

a CD-ROM, but you can do the next

best thing: cause a read error at that

point. How do you do this?

With a CD, especially one that is sup-

posed to be mass-produced on a

press? Easy: encode a few sectors with

incorrect checksums. Because that

has exact regions which holds a great

deal. Use your favorite search engine. A

search on Alta Vista for "playstation

+faq +sector+trick" turned it right up.

In nutshell, sectors 12-15 on an

authentic PSX disc have a checksum of

280, which is impossible. The PlayStation

can't boot checks for this. finds that

the checksum for 12-15 is impossible,

authenticates, and goes to check the

authentic code (in case this fails)." So

just copy the zero checksum! Wrongo.

The whole key to this fact is that consumer CD recorders are incapable of

writing invalid checksums. Consumer

recorders receive 8-bit data of the

files, or content of the disc. They do not

receive "sector+trick" data, which in-

cludes checksums. These are recorded

on its own and writes by its

self automatically. Sony manufactures

burners for its licensees that will allow

user-level control of the checksums and

whatever.

Does this mean you're up shit-

crack? Of course not. We're hackers,

dumb. You can either patch the

firmware in the CDR to allow the copy-

ing of what it thinks are illegal checksums (could be

hard) or modify the PlayStation.

Country Codes

Copy protection is just one half of a

puzzle. In the console world (and now,

the DCD world), you have to deal with

country codes. These wacky little things

tell what systems the disc is "autho-

rized" to run on (US/Canada machines,

Japan/US machines, PAL machines,

etc. In the case of the PlayStation, the

CD/DVD world), you have to deal with

country codes. These wacky little things

tell what systems the disc is "autho-

rized" to run on (US/Canada machines,

Japan/US machines, PAL machines,

etc. In the case of the PlayStation, the

CD/DVD world), you have to deal with

country codes. These wacky little things

tell what systems the disc is "autho-

rized" to run on (US/Canada machines,

Japan/US machines, PAL machines,

etc. In the case of the PlayStation, the

CD/DVD world), you have to deal with

country codes. These wacky little things

tell what systems the disc is "autho-

rized" to run on (US/Canada machines,

Japan/US machines, PAL machines,

etc. In the case of the PlayStation, the

CD/DVD world), you have to deal with

country codes. These wacky little things

son of the developer's sit. I'm not

touching this with a 40 foot pole; I'd be

here for live images. Use a search

engine and find out for yourself. To

make reference to Brock Meeks, Be-

stewart, and this ain't a recipe for a

burnt cake.

SCPH-5000: Only exists in 5000

model as far as we know. This was a

Japan-only release according to peo-

ple who have seen it. I don't know

much about it.

SCPH-5500: This model fixed an

overheating problem affecting 1000s

that caused the lens to stick in

one thing clear: I have not done this. I

do not copy PlayStation games. My

Playstation has been modified to run

imports, not CDRs. I buy originals be-

cause I like the idea of people actually

getting paid for their hard work. All

CDRs I have seen have invalid headers

and hence require a modified Playsta-

tion to run. This is for information only.

Heh heh, let us continue.

SCPH-7000: Gold for 6 months

Playstation Model Numbers

Model numbers on the PlayStation

have a three digit model identifier and a

one digit region identifier. The model

number is on the bottom of your

Playstation in the form SCPH-XXXX.

Admittedly, you can identify the model

by the color of

the box it came in, and the same model

number printed on the base of the box.

SCPH-1000: Japanese model.

SCPH-881: US/European model.

SCPH-1000: PAL/Europe model.

First PlayStation model. It comes in two

flavors: before serial number 592000,

and above. If you have the latter serial,

you can copy imports or CDRs without

modifications. If you have the upper

you can, but it's so damn hard you shouldn't even try. It came in a box with black sides.

SCPH-2000: Developer's model.

Same as 1000, but in a blue case with

more RAM and the new

protection/country detection disabled.

SCPH-3000: KeyZone system. Spe-

cially a stripped down consumer ver-

sion of the developer's sit. I'm not

touching this with a 40 foot pole; I'd be

here for live images. Use a search

engine and find out for yourself. To

make reference to Brock Meeks, Be-

stewart, and this ain't a recipe for a

burnt cake.

SCPH-700: Gold for 6 months

that was harder to modify. Cannot

keep it away from the power sup-

ply. It also was the first model to

remove the RCA jacks from the

back and cost \$100 less than

the 5000. It came in an orange

box.

SCPH-7000: Gold for 6 months

that includes a Dual Shock controller

(RCA) instead of a standard one. For

some reason some people got the idea

that this was the only model a dual

shock could work on. Not true.

SCPH-9000: This model has a com-

pletely redesigned mainboard that took

longer than usual to figure out how to

mod. Sony also removes the parallel

port from the back. They don't have any

peripherals that use it, and the only pe-

ripherals for it are 'universers'. A good

user-level control of the checksums and

whatever.

Does this mean you're up shit-

crack? Of course not. We're hackers,

dumb. You can either patch the

firmware in the CDR to allow the copy-

header.

Swapping: If you have a first-edition

100%, then you can do a swap trick to

run an image disc. The first PlayStation

has to be loaded to the head information

from a valid disc. Then the boot sequence. Never mind, check it as part

of the bootstrapping process, but with the

first edition, you can boot into the

Playstation CD player, have it load the

Table of Contents (and hence, the

header information) from a valid disc.

Then swap the disc. Still an invalid one

without triggering the read-open sensor.

Exit the CD menu, and the bootstraps

will be done without re-checking the

header. I'm not going into any more de-

tail on how this is done - once again,

starch engines are your friends - but I

will say this makes for a very poor

choice. For one, the motor is still spin-

ning while you swap the discs. (I assume

you're a Benetton motorist: what up? I'll get to

you in a bit), and excessive swapping

causes the motor to overheat. Also,

garbage that use replaceable audio

disks. This is what's described in

your CD player's manual. I'll get to

tabs of contents for track standard

times, so your music will be in

order again, remember. Search er-

times for your friend A search on Al-

+mod chips for +playstation +mod

+modulation +playstation turned up 271

hits. Now the downside to swapping.

This article was written before the term

mod chip was even invented. Look-outs.

Starting with two Japanese 64-meg

Playstation +mod chips in a box. Sony

stated putting tabs on select PlayStation

titles that hung the game when it

detected a mod chip. This worked by

sending a second start signal to the

Playstation after the game had already

booted. A standard PlayStation would

detect the start signal; a modified one

would not. Hackers, naturally, jumped

all over this. Within a few weeks, it be-

came known that entering a code in a

Game Shark would bypass the lockout

code and boot the game. A low-level so-

ftware was to simply install a switch on

the mod chip and turn it off after the

boot.

bootstrap process. Additionally, new "stealth" chips are available that bypass this lockout once activated.

Game Enhancers: Now, the part of the article I've been itching to write ever since Matt's letter in 182 (which was fully half, incidentally, half to say it). Game Enhancers, and all its knockoffs, are not Game Enhancers. The Game Enhancer, manufactured and sold in the US by Interact, is the only parallel port device for the PlayStation that does not allow you to play invalid discs out of the box. The knockoff versions of the Game Enhancer do allow you to boot invalid discs - by re-enabling the swap trick from the first edition 100% series! Now, you boot into the Game Enhancer's CD Player with a valid disc, swap, and then boot-up. The GE even stops the motor for you. Early model PlayStation software (up to the audio TOC when swapping) from what I hear the Game Enhancer is harder and its ilk do not.

So why isn't everyone using Game Enhancers? For starters, the new 9000 PlayStation doesn't even have a parallel port to plug them into. Also, most add-on discs don't function with a Game Enhancer - add-on discs basically defeat the PlayStation in the middle of a session, and the Game Enhancer can't alter that secondary session in any way. Game Enhancers allow you to run add-on discs basically defeat the system that links to a sector inside the cuttable - the current edit is embedded in a disk image on the CD itself with a pointer for the system that links to a sector inside the cuttable image. I don't even want to think about hacking that at this time of the evening.

Playstation Emulation
One of the major legal wars currently raging is over two software packages: ConnectX's Virtual Game Station, and Bleem! LLC's Bleem!. Both of them are (fairly) fully-featured PlayStation emulators that allow you to play PlayStation games on your Mac or PC. In the case of Bleem!, the graphics are improved by piping them through a 3D accelerator if one is available. Sony, naturally, is splitting nails over these emulators. Sony is claiming they'reinge on their intellectual right (they don't; not one bit of Sony code is used) and is attempting to gain injunctions against both products to keep them

from shipping. One of the obvious reasons Sony is so angry is that it's remarkably easy to hack both. Those programs to play invalid discs they can't boot out of the box. I'm not going to say how this is done - mostly because I don't know - but rest assured it's quite possible.

Legal Ramifications
All right, cut out the legal dis-

claims. I am not a lawyer, all of the above was for educational purposes. If you get sued and go to jail or get naked with a fine because of this stuff, it ain't my fault, etc., etc., etc.

There are a frightening number of companies that spam

rec-games, video-games with discussing regularly of the sale of PSX "backups." I find this truly amazing.

What these companies are doing, any way you measure it, is illegal. I'm going in quote now from the Rec.Games.Videos.FAQ:

3.15 - Are CDR backups legal?
It's a nutshell maybe. This is a very confusing topic that has led to many a flame war in the newsgroup, and so you have some reference points, this is all based on information from the IDSA (International Digital Software Association), the entity you'll most likely be dealing with if you get busted for piracy. The law in question is 17 U.S.C. Section 117(e). As for

countries other than the U.S., I'll leave that to your own.

Basically, you have the right to make one copy of a game that you own an original or for archival purposes (read: your dog decides to play with it or other such damage).

The law states that you cannot sell

or download a backup off the Internet. Backup server operators: You screwed.

You cannot sell backups unless you are the copyright holder of the software. Backup sellers, yes, SORRY.

Backup copy can only be transferred to another person if the original is also transferred and the transfer is part of the transaction of all rights in the program. In other words, you can't trade a backup unless you own the rights to the game.

As for backup services? Who knows. Just keep in mind that the IDSA has many very aggressive lawyers at their disposal for the sole purpose of making your life a living hell.

FUN AT CIRCUIT CITY

by ccsucks

I was a manager at Circuit City. Unfortunately, Circuit City and I parted ways (their decision, so I decided to write the following article for my friends at 2600... enjoy!)

Price Tags
It ends in .99. It is "In Program" (in other words, it's not in stock, the associate can "special order" it from the main warehouse).

If it ends in .98, it is a sale price or "CTC Challenge the Competitor."

If it ends in .97, it is "Open Box." As rules, avoid open box buys at Circuit City like the plague unless you get the chance to see the unit working for yourself. Sales counselors usually don't test units that come back as Open Box, even though they're supposed to. And never believe the story that it just came off display.

If it ends in .96, it is "Out of Program (OOP)." (In other words, it's not in stock, the associate will not be able to order more of these). This is a disclaimer that you may not be able to purchase if there are none in stock at that store. Same caveat applies for Open Box, above, though.

If you see an Open Box with a .96 price on it, it was not reviewed by a sales manager and was "auto-priced" by the system. You will definitely be able to get money off this price.

If it ends in .95, it is "Going out of Program (GOOP)." (In other words, the associate may be able to order from the main warehouse, but probably not).

This covers 99 percent of the price tags for store merchandise, but does not include pricing for any music software (CDs, tapes, DVDS, etc.) or merchandise (appliance sales like 10% off, etc.

Telephone Fun
Pick up any phone on the floor. Dial 9 to get an outside line. Long distance lines are blocked, but you can

call your wife before you buy that big screen TV. But it's long distance, you'll exclaim. The sales manager, not wanting to lose a big screen TV sale,

will gladly dial your wife's phone number and, after waiting for the tone, dial

in the long distance code. Each store has its own long distance code, but I can't tell you the number of times I've been able to stand in one part of the

store while no one is standing around watching.

0 Front counter (they will see extension you're calling from)

5510 First North American National Bank (FNNB): Circuit City card 5520 Circuit City Headquarters 5530 FNNB Customer Service

5540 Help Desk. Social engineer a sales manager & name. The help desk is generally a little more understanding with sales managers because they have not gone through as much computer system training as much (they're not sales managers).

Bank (FNNB): Circuit City card 5570 FNNB Customer Service 5580 Help Desk. Social engineer a sales manager & name. The help desk is generally a little more understanding with sales managers because they have not gone through as much computer system training as much (they're not sales managers).

System, and say "DPS is down." That'll get the Ops staff running toward the CC130 and calling the help desk themselves!

A Little Computer System Glossary
DPS: Distributed Processing System (the computer system)
CC130: Main board in the general office behind the counter.

Wedge: The main board under the register that holds everything (monitor, thermal printer, scanner, check reader, etc.) is plugged

Ward: To call any Circuit City across the country? Dial 1-800-475-9515

and, after the tone, dial 333 and the four digit store number.

Want to call the Loss Prevention Department? The number is 1-800-353-2257. I'll leave it to your imagination to fill in the information you can tell them!

HOW TO BUILT A COFFEE BOX

by skroooyed

The Coffee Box is nothing new or radical. What it is, however, is a merging of two existing boxes into one extremely compact, lightweight, and affordable unit.

Essentially, the Coffee Box combines the functionality of the Beige and Brown Boxes. What this means is that you have a Lucent's handset (basically an ordinary telephone handset) attached to the bare terminals found in telco boxes (with the Brown Box (a device which bridges two separate lines) to create a party line of sorts).

What sets the Coffee Box apart from both of these devices is that it not only contains their functionality, but puts it in a package that is usefully small and very cheap. I built mine for less than US \$25.

Materials

You only need three pieces of equipment.

A Swiss Army or Stanley (X-Astro) knife for paring and paring down wires. I don't recommend a wire stripper as some of the wires will be dealing with are quite fine - around about 20-plus gauge, and prone to snapping.

Four alligator clips. Your preferred type of attachment (solder, crimp, or screw) is fine but, from experience, I recommend the screw type. More on this later.

One Voice2000S Mini-Phone. Details of this little gem can be found at www.voice2000s.com/miniphone.htm. Its advantages are outlined in the next section, but you are advised to check this site for its technical specs before proceeding. It'll give you a better idea of why I chose this particular instrument.

I chose this phone for two reasons: firstly, it's cheap - US \$20 plus tax at Fry's Electronics. Secondly, it's tiny. One other thing this phone has is twin RJ-11 jacks. It doesn't support two lines, but it can quite sufficiently bridge two separate lines to create a party line - more on the potential uses of this further on. It's also packaged with fifteen feet of male-to-male RJ-11 cable in the bubble-wrap.

Again, I'll talk about the packaging advantages of this particular item later on.

Construction

Very simple. Open the packaging and separate it out into its component parts: the phone, the earpiece microphone, and the RJ-11 cabling. Grab the RJ-11 now, and have the alligator clips and spade ready.

Cut the RJ-11 cable in half so that

you have about 10 inches of free cable attached to each plug. Discard or squirrel away the remaining cabling for future use. You won't need it here.

Look lengthways at the RJ-11 cabling at the non-plug end, and you'll see two white insots. Carefully dissect both sets of casings so that the two internal wires are able to be pulled gently out, then crop off the excess external insulation (usually white). You should now have one red and one green wire exposed.

Again, using your blade, carefully strip about two inches of insulation from the green and red wires. Attach each of them in turn to the four alligator clips you now have laying around.

You're done. You now own the con-

stlent components of a Coffee Box.

Usage

As you would with a beige box, connect it up to your favorite terminals in

your favorite local telco box, and have fun. In terms of brown boxing - well, I leave it up to your imagination. Wind up a hold switch on one of the jacks and you can do things like, say, connect the Atlanta box to the L.A. box. Not that this has ever been done, of course.

And don't forget - its light weight means that the alligator clips can support its own weight when connected to a pair of terminals, which, combined with the earpiece/mic receiver, leaves your hands free to do, erm, whatever they need to do. What experience has taught me, though, is that screw-type alligator clips work best - clamps and solder tend to break at the joint, whereas screw-types can be fixed "in the field" if were, with nothing more than a Swiss Army Knife.

Well, for starters, it has a relatively low Ringer Equivalence Number (REN) of 2.9. What this means is that the total number of phones on any given line should not exceed that number. If you have the Coffee Box at 2600 and the L.A. 2600 Crew most definitely should be Boogah. Oh, and as for why it's called a Coffee Box - well, combine beige and brown, and you get something about the same color as coffee and cream. Hey, it's better than the "Baby-couldn't-help-it" box!

Attached to two lines (or one line with two other phones), you have an REN of 3 (Coffee + 1xx-xxxx + 2xx-xxxx). Slightly more than it is supposed to be able to handle.

I have quite successfully run it under these conditions for some time now without any trouble. However, the limits are pretty good. However, the doesn't mean that you won't have problems. Therefore, the disclaimer: your actions, your loss. I would also heed the manufacturer's disclaimer as relates to using it in thunder and lightning storms: don't. It really isn't taught me, though, is that screw-type alligator clips work best - clamps and solder tend to break at the joint, as a result... well, that's also your problem, not mine. 'Nuff said.

Credits

2600 and the L.A. 2600 Crew most definitely should be Boogah. Oh, and as for why it's called a Coffee Box - well, combine beige and brown, and you get something about the same color as coffee and cream. Hey, it's better than the "Baby-couldn't-help-it" box!



You need an explanation of sound compression go to www.mazsound.com for documentation and some good cards for sale. Next comes the video card. Buy a video card with at least 16 and hopefully 32 Mb of ram. You can get away with less but it will, in technical terms, suck.

Now get your modem. Either a v.90 56k flex or a cable modem. This is 2500, so I don't have to explain these two devices. Next, the most often overlooked part of your computer, the ram. This is one of the times where it really pays to buy the expensive kind. Don't buy crappy ram. Other kinds will sometimes make your computer fail to start (this is bad). Get at least 128 - 512 or 768 would be best.

A CD ROM drive is a big chunk of change for something you are only going to use a handful of times. Get a used one at a flea market. Don't buy a DVD drive - they are for teenagers to use to watch porn, not for hackers. If later you find out you want a CD writer, then buy one then, not now. They aren't worth it at this point. Finally, the hard drive. There are three main options. IDE, SCSI, and RAID. IDE is the cheapest, but it also is the slowest, and it has little or no error checking. This is bad. SCSI is marginally more expensive, but it runs a little faster, and has error checking, so a drive error that would kill an IDE PC, won't even be noticed in a SCSI system. The one downside of "sucky" as we builders call it is that you need another card, and that costs money. But trust me, it's worth it. The third, and least common, option is RAID. This is basically another box, outside of your computer, filled with lots of drives. You get to choose the sizes. This has a number of advantages and disadvantages. First of all, RAID is

32 Mb of ram. You can get away with less but it will, in technical terms, suck.

Now get your modem. Either a v.90 56k flex or a cable modem. This is 2500, so I don't have to explain these two devices. Next, the most often overlooked part of your computer, the ram. This is one of the times where it really pays to buy the expensive kind. Don't buy crappy ram. Other kinds will sometimes make your computer fail to start (this is bad). Get at least 128 - 512 or 768 would be best.

A CD ROM drive is a big chunk of change for something you are only going to use a handful of times. Get a used one at a flea market. Don't buy a DVD drive - they are for teenagers to use to watch porn, not for hackers. If later you find out you want a CD writer, then buy one then, not now. They aren't worth it at this point. Finally, the hard drive. There are three main options. IDE, SCSI, and RAID. IDE is the cheapest, but it also is the slowest, and it has little or no error checking. This is bad. SCSI is marginally more expensive, but it runs a little faster, and has error checking, so a drive error that would kill an IDE PC, won't even be noticed in a SCSI system. The one downside of "sucky" as we builders call it is that you need another card, and that costs money. But trust me, it's worth it. The third, and least common, option is RAID. This is basically another box, outside of your computer, filled with lots of drives. You get to choose the sizes. This has a number of advantages and disadvantages. First of all, RAID is

faster than the other two types. Not only that but you can upgrade it for the same price, or maybe even less! One of the main advantages of RAID is in its name. Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks. Did you see that first word, redundant? That means that even if one of the drives goes through some kind of failure, like it melts or something, the box can keep working without a hitch. The downside is you need a \$250 card and another box taking up space on your desk.

Now that you have built your PC, it's time for an operating system. There are a number of options. First and most important is Linux. If you use Linux, use RedHat 6 or later. Do not use RedHat 5. It does not work on PnP BIOS. This can run the Xwindows system so it looks and feels like Windows, while working like Linux. If you are really smart and want to learn a difficult OS, use FreeBSD. This is a free version of Berkeley Systems Development, which is basically just UN*X. Also, there is the little known OS/2. This is basically IBM's response to Windows. The newest version (OS/2 4 warp) is pretty good and it's not Windows. Also, there is a pretty good selection of software (not great, but good). Finally, you could use some off the wall UN*X flavor, but they are complicated and don't really have a lot of software. Unless you are planning to write your own stuff, stick with the three choices I outlined above.

My one caution is that all circuitry inside a PC is static sensitive, so either touch something grounded while you work or buy a pair of static wrist guards (\$15) just to be safe.

Have fun!

HOW DOES THAT DSS CARD REALLY WORK?

by Phreshie

All of the information in this article has been obtained from public domain sources and is accurate to the best of my knowledge. This information is for fun, complete, however it should provide a start for the curious hackers out there!

Your DSS card contains a microprocessor, ROM, EEPROM, and RAM. The chip, ROM, ROM, EEPROM, and RAM. The chip, ROM may be updated by DirectTV at any time or changed by a skilled hacker. The receiver communicates with the card via eight pads on the card. The pads are numbered clockwise, starting in the top-left corner:

1. VCC
2. R/W
3. CLOCK
4. RESET
5. GND
6. NOT USED
7. DATA IN
8. NOT USED

Your card receives and transmits data packets at 9600 bps. Some packets are filed out before they reach your card, such as individual unit authorizations. Many data packets are global in nature and are made to your card. There are dozens of types, however most are beyond the scope of this article.

The most important data packet is the 4340 packet. This packet is used to give your receiver information about the channel you are tuned to and to test if you are authorized to view the channel. The most important parts in this packet are the 09 command and the OC command.

The 09 command tells the card to select one of its factory loaded encryption keys to

be used to seed the hashing algorithm.

Once the 09 command is issued every byte that the card receives is passed to the algorithm. A new key and checksum are generated with each byte. If any byte in the data packet is changed, the wrong key and checksum will be generated.

The 03 or 06 commands are used to test the security of the current channel is authorized. If the channel is authorized, the status is saved as a flag on the card. 03 is used for channel 06 is used for pay-per-view. Everything that the card received after the initial 09 command was used to generate a new key and checksum. If one byte was changed, the current key and the checksum will be incorrect.

A short time later the 4344 packet instructs the card to return the status flag, cancel the most recent key through the ASIC encryption chip, and return the computed key to the receiver. The status flag will run on the sound and video decoder, and the unashed key will be applied to the JPEG decoder. Assuming that the key is correct, video will appear.

Sometimes DirectTV will instruct the DSS card to apply eight bytes of code from the card's EEPROM to the hashing algorithm. DirectTV knows what the code is that the card's EEPROM, the wrong key will be generated. The video will go black, or freeze. That is, to its most basic form, how the DSS system works.

Hope 2000

OTEL PENNSYLVANIA
New York City

July 14th to July 16th, 2000

Full details on page 56.
Updates on www.h2k.net.
Join us for this historical event!

12